

Outline

- Background on Burmese phonology
- Adaptation of foreign segments
- Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- Discussion and implications
- Conclusions

Background

Burmese consonant inventory

labial dental alveo. palatal velar glottal p p^h b stops affricates fricatives θð s s^h z ∫ nasals m, m n n ກູ ກູ ŋл liquids 1,1 flap

- voiced, voiceless unaspirated, and voiceless aspirated obstruents
- voiced and voiceless sonorants (including nasals at four different places)
- no labiodental fricatives or retroflex approximant

Background

Burmese vowel inventory

front central back high ίĩ uõ mid (e) low аã diphthongs ei ẽi ai ãi au ãu ou õu

- five oral monophthongs + three nasal monophthongs
- four oral diphthongs + four nasal diphthongs
- mid central vowel /ə/ only in reduced, non-final 'minor' syllables
- no low front vowel, no nasalized mid vowels, no /ɔi/ diphthong

Background

■ Burmese tone inventory (also cf. Cornyn 1944, Wheatley 1987, Win 1998)

characteristics

€ low [sù] 'protrude' **€** high [sú] 'pricked'

med. duration, low intensity, often rising breathy, long, high intensity, often falling

creaky, short, high intensity, high pitch (§ [glottal [SU?] 'put on ring' very short, sharp glottal closure, high pitch]

- tones are implemented via pitch and phonation
- tone that falls on schwa is neutral
- change in vowel quality with the glottal tone
- glottal tone considered here to be allotone of creaky tone

Background

- Burmese phonotactics (also cf. Green 1995, 2002)
 - \Box basic syllable structure $\rightarrow C_1C_2V(V)C_3$
 - \blacksquare C₂ = glides /w, j/ (but *[$_{\sigma}$ [-labial]+j)
 - $C_3 = /2/ \text{ (or N)}$
 - \square nasal vowels do not occur with glottal coda: ${}^*\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$?] $_{\sigma}$
 - □ the vowel /ɔ/ does not occur with glottal coda: *ɔ?]_σ
 - □ the diphthongs /ai, au/ always occur in closed syllables (i.e. with glottal coda): *ai]_{\sigma} / *au]_{\sigma}

Questions

- How do the Burmese inventory and phonotactic restrictions constrain the nativization of English loanwords?
- How much is adaptation a phonetic vs. a phonological process (cf. Silverman 1992, Paradis 1996, Kenstowicz 2001, Steriade 2002)?

Outline

- Background and research questions
- 2. Adaptation of foreign segments
- Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- Discussion and implications
- Conclusions

Consonant substitutions (in syllable onset)

- Labiodental fricatives f, v/ \rightarrow [p^h, b], respectively
 - □ e.g. [p^hì~là~] 'Finland' ﴿{ [jùnì**b**àsìtì] 'university' 🍕
- Onset $/_{\mathcal{I}}/\rightarrow$ [i] or [f]
 - [jèidìjòu] 'radio' 🍕 □ e.g.
 - [bàb∋rà] 'Barbara' €
- Voiced palato-alveolar fricative $|3\rangle$ \rightarrow [\int]
 - [məléi∫á] 'Malaysia' 🐠

Choice of substitution

- When choosing the phonetically closest substitution would result in a phonological merger, a different substitution is chosen (if available).
 - \square e.g. [kòu pjùtà] 'computer' (*[kòu p h jùtà]) \P
- English $[p^h] \rightarrow$ Burmese [p], not $[p^h]$ (cf. Eng $[f] \rightarrow$ Bur $[p^h]$)
- English $[\underline{f}] \rightarrow \text{Burmese } [d], \text{ not } [\underline{f}] \text{ (cf. Eng } [\underline{J}] \rightarrow \text{Bur } [\underline{f}])$

schematically:

Vowel substitutions

- Low front $/æ/ \rightarrow [a, e]$
 - □ e.g. [d3əpār] 'Japan' 🎉 [d3ɛ?] 'Jack' 🍕
- Back rounded / p, p / p [ou], [u], [au], or [p]
 - □ e.q. [sət**óu**] 'store' 🥨 [p^hʊʔ] 'Ford' ∰ [kðp^hì] 'coffee' ዺ€ [gau?] 'golf' 🥨
- Diphthong $/\text{oi}/\rightarrow$ [wai]
 - □ e.q. [bwái] 'boy' 🕼

Vowel laxing via glottal stop epenthesis

- High front $/i/\rightarrow [I]$
 - □ e.g. [sɪʔtímaʔ] 'City Mart' 🐠
- Mid front $/e/\rightarrow$ [ϵ]
 - □ e.g. [pε?p∋sì] 'Pepsi' 🍕
- Low central $/a/\rightarrow$ [e]
 - □ e.g. [bɐʔsəká] 'bus' (< bus + car) 🍕

Outline

- 1. Background and research questions
- 2. Adaptation of foreign segments
- 3. Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- 4. Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- 5. Discussion and implications
- 6. Conclusions

13

Resolution of onset clusters

- Stop-glide clusters are preserved, unless illegal.
 - □ e.g. [**kw**èikà?ou?] 'Quaker Oats' **(!** [**n**∋**j**ùjau?] 'New York' **(!**
- All other clusters are resolved via epenthesis.
 - □ e.g. [dərā] 'drum' ﴿
 [ʔǐ gəlā] 'England' ﴿
 [səpʰ] (Sphinx' ﴿
 [bòsətö] 'Boston' ﴿

14

Outline

- 1. Background and research questions
- 2. Adaptation of foreign segments
- 3. Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- 4. Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- 5. Discussion and implications
- 6. Conclusions

15

Adaptation of obstruent syllable codas

- Obstruent codas are debuccalized.
 - □ e.g. [kəlɪʔ] 'hair clip' ◀ [màgərɛʔ] 'Margaret' ◀ [bəreiʔ] 'brake' ◀ [déibɪʔ] 'David' ◀ [maʔ] 'March' ◀ [kśleiʔ] 'college' ◀ [gɛʔ] 'gas' ◀ [pəleiʔ] 'police'/'plague' ◀ [sʰaiʔ] 'size' ◀ [ʔfˈgəleiʔ] 'English' ◀ [dʒóusʰɛʔ] 'Joseph' [ʔilɪʔzəbɛʔ] 'Elizabeth'
 - □ Debuccalization occurs regardless of place, manner, phonation, or stridency.

Adaptation of obstruent syllable codas

- Obstruent codas may also be deleted with concomitant creaky tone on the preceding vowel.

 - ☐ This adaptation occurs when the vowel is not compatible with a coda glottal stop (i.e. /ɔ/, nasal vowels).

17

Adaptation of sonorant syllable codas

- Sonorant codas are generally deleted.
 - □ e.g. [ʔɪʔzəjéi] 'İsrael' ﴿ [wǐ sʰà] 'Windsor' ﴿ [jaˇ] 'rum' ﴿ [pʰóuˇ] 'phone' ﴿ [ʔaˇkè] 'uncle' ﴿
 - ☐ Liquid codas are deleted outright.
 - □ Nasal codas are deleted with concomitant nasalization of the preceding vowel.

18

Adaptation of coda clusters

■ Sonorants are generally deleted, and obstruents are debuccalized.

🗆 e.g. [gau?] 'golf' 🍕 [kwèikà?ou?] 'Quaker Oats' [səkɐʔ] 'skirt' ዺ€ [?ògou?] 'August' 🌿 [hábe2] 'Harvard' € [?ìd312] 'Egypt' €

■ When glottal stop is disallowed, obstruents are deleted, leaving behind a creaky tone.

□ e.g. [səp^ht] 'Sphinx' ([kaut] 'count'

Outline

- Background and research questions
- Adaptation of foreign segments
- Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- Discussion and implications
- Conclusions

Discussion

- Initial scansion in Burmese loanword adaptation occurs on a phoneme-to-phone basis.
 - ☐ Both labials and coronals are adapted in such a way as to preserve phonemic distinctions.
- Secondary scansion (cf. Silverman 2002) is phonetically detailed.
 - □ Tense/lax vowel quality and length play a role in adaptation even though neither of these is distinctive within the language.
- Speakers are conscious of 'accidental' phonological gaps, which strongly constrain adaptations.
 - \Box * σ ?, *ai] $_{\sigma}$, *au] $_{\sigma}$ constraints result in various departures from the most faithful adaptation possible.

Outline

- Background and research questions
- Adaptation of foreign segments
- Adaptation of foreign onset clusters
- Adaptation of foreign codas and coda clusters
- Discussion and implications
- Conclusions

Conclusion

- The case of Burmese loanword adaptation indicates a level of phonological scansion not normally assumed in models of loanword adaptation.
- Burmese loanword adaptation shows the influence of Burmese and English phonological considerations, as well as perceptual attunement to non-phonemic details of the speech signal.
- In adaptation, the role of bilinguals (who have access to both languages' phonology) should not be underestimated.

Selected References

Cornyn, William. (1944). Outline of Burmese grammar. Language 20(4), suppl.: 3-34. Baltimore: Waverly

Press.

Green, Anlony Dubach. (1995). The prosodic structure of Burmese: A constraint-based approach. Working Papers of the Cornell Phonetics Laboratory 10, 67-96.

Green, Anlony Dubach. (2002). Word, foot, and syllable structure in Burmese. Ms., University of Potsdam. Kenstowicz, Michael. (2001). The pole of perception in loanword phonology. Ms., MIT. Institut de Linguistique et Phonetique Generales et Appliquées. Review of Flavien Gbeto, Les emprunts linguistiques d'origine europénne en Fon.

Paradis, Carole. (1996). The inadequacy of fillers and faithfulness in loanword adaptation. In J. Durand and B. Laks (eds.), Current Trends in Phonology: Models and Methods, 509-534. Salford: University of Salford Publications.

Silverman, Daniel. (1992). Multiple scansions in loanword phonology: Evidence from Cantonese. *Phonology* 9: 298-328.

Y. 29-326. Steriade, Donca. (2002). The phonology of perceptibility effects: The P-map and its consequences for constaint organization. Ms., UCLA.
Wheatley, Justin. (1987). Burmese. In B. Comrie (ed.), The World's Major Languages. New York: Oxford University Press, 834-854.

Win, Than Than. (1998). Burmese-English accent: Description, causes, and consequences. Dekalb, IL: Northern Illinois University, PhD dissertation.