

Paper Title: On the Functions of “Marked Clausal Constructions” in Thai
Sub-field: functional study on syntax and pragmatics (discourse)
Name of Author: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Unchalee Singnoi
Affiliation of Author: Faculty of Humanities, Naresuan University, Pitsanulok 65000,
Thailand
E-mail address: sunchalee@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

On the Functions of “Marked Clausal Constructions” in Thai

The Thai language has been defined, in terms of basic clausal structure, as a subject prominent (subject-predicate) language where its word order is “typically” SVO. In spoken discourse especially, it is observable that, in addition to SVO, either OSV and VOS is also found along with some phonological or morphological markers or in special constructions. Clauses in such particular characteristics, thus, are called marked clausal constructions in this paper. They include marked-word-order constructions such as alternative word order, fronting/leftward movement, left-dislocation and right-dislocation and special focus constructions such as passives, ‘existing’ sentences and clefts. Such constructions involve pragmatic categories that can be used to describe the functions of syntactic operations and other phenomena found in different languages.

This paper aims to present the pragmatic/discourse functions of “marked clausal constructions” in the Thai language. Differently speaking, it attempts to reveal that the reasons for employing the marked constructions are pragmatic/discourse constraints, e.g., the management of given and new information, expression of topics, focus, contrast, and so forth. The study is conducted in the frame work of Construction Grammar, a new functional approach that makes use of the notion of construction as a principle and aims at full coverage of the facts of any language, including elements peripheral to the traditional grammars and allows the study of grammatical patterns to be as complex as necessary. The data is collected from the usage of the Thai language both spoken and written in the present, without any concern on other dimensions of usage styles such as formal-informal, academic-nonacademic and so forth.

References

- Aissen, Judith. 1990. “Topic and focus in Mayan”. *Special Session on American Indian languages*. The Berkeley Linguistic Society.
- Chafe, Wallace L. 1976. “Givenness, contrastiveness, definiteness, subjects, topics, and point of view”. In Li. Ed., *Subject and Topic*. New York: Academic Press. 27-55.
- Delin, Judy and Jon Oberlander. 1995. “Syntactic constraints on discourse structure: The case of *it*-clefts”. *Linguistics* 33. 465-500.
- Finegan, Edward. 1999. *Language: Its Structure and Use*. Florida: Harcourt Brace & company.
- Fillmore, Charles J. 1985. “Syntactic intrusion and the notion of grammatical construction.” *BLS* 11. 73-86.
- Fillmore, Charles J. 1988. “The mechanisms of Construction Grammar.” *BLS* 14, 35-55.
- Fillmore, Charles. 1991. *Construction Grammar*. Berkeley, CA: University of California at Berkeley.
- Hinds, John. 1989. “Left-Dislocation in Thai.” *Language and Linguistics No. 2*, 61-83.

- Indrambarya, Kitima. 1996. "On Impersonal verbs in Thai." *Pan-Asiatic Linguistics: Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Language and Linguistics January 8-12*, Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University, 505-521.
- Iwasaki, Shoichi. 1989. "Clause hood and verb serialization in Thai narratives." *Language and Linguistics No. 2*, 84-130.
- Keenan, Edward L. 1976. "Towards a universal definition of subject". In Li, Charles N. (ed.). *Subject and Topic*. Academic Press.
- Lambrecht, Knud. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form: Topic, Focus and the Mental Representations of Discourse Referents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lavandera, Beatriz R. 1988. "The study of language in its socio-cultural context". In Frederick J. Newmayer (ed.). *Linguistics: The Cambridge survey 4 Language: The socio-cultural context*, 1-13.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1976. "Subject and topic: a new typology of Language". In Charles Li. (ed.). *Subject and Topic*. New York: Academic Press. 459-489.
- Panakul, Thanyarat. 1989. "Introducing the Thai neutral passive." *Paper presented at the 18th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics*, 1-13.
- Panpothong, Natthaporn. 2001. "Is there wh-movement in Thai?". *Essays in Tai Linguistics*, 53-63.
- Prince, Ellen F. 1978. "A comparison of wh-clefts and it-clefts in discourse". *Language 54*, 883-906.
- Schachter, Paul. 1973. "Focus and relativization". *Language 49-1*. 19-45.
- Singnoi, Unchalee. 2000. *Nominal Constructions in Thai*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Oregon.
- Singnoi, Unchalee. 2002. "Passive constructions in Thai". *The High Desert Linguistics Society (HDLS): Proceedings of the 2nd Student Conference in Linguistics, March 1999*. New Mexico: University of New Mexico Press. 69-86.
- Singnoi, Unchalee. 2002. "Discourse functions of Thai demonstratives: A case on pragmatically controlled irregular functions." *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Southeast Asia Linguistics Conference 16-18 May 2001*. Hosted by Institute of Language and Culture for Rural Development, Mahidol University, Thailand. Arizona: Arizona State University.
- Sookgasem, Prapa. 1992. "A Verb-subject construction in Thai: An analysis of the existential verb "mii". *Pan-Asiatic Linguistics: Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Language and Linguistics January 8-12*, Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University, 282-295.
- Sookgasem, Prapa. 1996. "The Predicative-adjective construction in Thai." *Pan-Asiatic Linguistics: Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Language and Linguistics January 8-12*, Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University, 579-607.
- Van Valin, Fobert D. and Randy J. LaPolla. 1997. *Syntax: Structure, Meaning and Function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ward, Gregory and Betty Birner.